



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_9650
Title of the Manuscript:	Smooth soliton solutions of ZK(2,4,-2) equation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. This paper must be rewritten. The quality of writing and presentation are very poor. The reading is difficult to carry out. The manuscript is plagued with typos and grammatical errors from beginning to end. 2. The author(s) should improve the scientific robustness of this paper. Due to the negligence in the act of writing, the manuscript is not technically sound in some parts of it.	1, I have tried my best to improve the quality of writing and presentation some typos and grammatical errors including technical l errors have been corrected in the paper.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The use of phase portraits to find solutions of the ZK equations is fine, but I suggest to check the correctness of the solutions. I was not able to prove that the function given in (3.3) solves Eq. (1.4).	It is my mistakes in the source code in using Maple, I have checked and revised it, then the two solutions look smooth and solve Eq.(1.4).